1 2	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP JOHN M. GRENFELL (CA Bar No. 88500) john.grenfell@pillsbury(w.com		
3	JACOB R. SORENSEN (CA Bar No. 209134) jake.sorensen@pillsburylaw.com		
4	FUSAE NARA (pro hac vice) fusae.nara@pillsburylaw.com		
5	ANDREW D. LANPHERE (CA Bar No. 191479) andrew.lanphere@pillsburylaw.com		
6	50 Fremont Street San Francisco, CA 94105		
7	Telephone: (415) 983-1000 Facsimile: (415) 983-1200		
8 9	Attorneys for Defendants SHARP CORPORATION and SHARP ELECTRONICS CORPORATION		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
13			
14	IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Master File No. 3:07-md-1827 SI MDL No. 1827	
15			
16	This Document Relates To:	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
17	ALL ACTIONS	ORDER MODIFYING PRETRIAL SCHEDULE FOR "TRACK ONE"	
18		DIRECT ACTION PLAINTIFF AND STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	
19		CASES	
20			
21	The Direct Action Plaintiffs, Attorneys General, and Defendants party to the below-		
22	listed actions (collectively, "Parties") hereby stipulate as follows:		
23	<b>STIPULATION</b>		
24	WHEREAS the Parties have met and conferred regarding the schedule set in the		
25	Court's Order re: Pretrial and Trial Schedule (Dkt. 2165) ("Pretrial and Trial Schedule");		
26	WHEREAS the Parties agree to the extension of selected dates set in the Pretrial and		
27	Trial Schedule in order to allow sufficient time for discovery and related work;		
28			

1	WHEREAS the agreed upon revised pretrial schedule does not alter the dates set by		
2	the Court for the last day for hearing dispositive motions, pretrial schedule, or trial(s);		
3	NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties, through their undersigned respective counsel,		
4	stipulate and request that the Court order as follows:		
5	That the pretrial dates set forth in the Pretrial and Trial Schedule are amended,		
6	solely as to the following cases:		
7	• ATS Claim, LLC v. Epson Electronics America, Inc., et al., Case No. 09-cv-1115		
8	• AT&T Mobility LLC, et al. v. AU Optronics Corporation, et al.,		
9	Case No. 09-cv-4997		
10	• Best Buy Co., Inc., et al. v. AU Optronics Corporation, et al.,		
11	Case No. 10-cv-4572		
12	• Costco Wholesale Corporation v. AU Optronics Corporation, et al.,		
13	Case No. 11-cv-0058		
14	• Dell Inc., et al. v. Sharp Corporation, et al., Case No. 10-cv-1064		
15	• Eastman Kodak Co. v. Epson Imaging Devices Corp., et al.,		
16	Case No. 10-cv-5452		
17	• Electrograph Systems, Inc., et al. v. Epson Imaging Devices Corp., et al.,		
18	Case No. 10-cv-0117 <sup>1</sup>		
19	• Motorola, Inc. v. AU Optronics Corporation, et al., Case No. 09-cv-5840		
20	• Nokia Corporation, et al. v. AU Optronics Corporation, et al.,		
21	Case No. 09-cv-5609		
22	• Target Corporation, et al. v. AU Optronics Corporation, et al.,		
23	Case No. 10-cv-4945		
24	• TracFone Wireless, Inc. v. AU Optronics Corporation, et al.,		
25	Case No. 10-cv-3205		
26			
27	This does not include the separate case, <i>Electrograph Systems, Inc., et al. v. NEC</i>		
28	Corporation, et al., Case No. 11-01690 (E.D.N.Y.).		

- State of Missouri, et al. v. AU Optronics Corporation, et al.,
   Case No. 10-cv-3619
- State of Florida v. AU Optronics Corporation, et al., Case No. 10-cv-3517
- State of New York v. AU Optronics Corporation, et al., Case No. 11-cv-0711
- State of Oregon v. AU Optronics Corporation, et al., Case No. 10-cv-4346
- That the pretrial dates set forth in the Order re: Pretrial and Trial Schedule are

## 7 amended as follows:

8 9	Event	Dates Applicable to all Direct Action Plaintiffs and all State AG Plaintiffs (in cases on file by 12/1/10), per Pretrial and Trial	[Proposed] Revised Dates
10		Schedule, Dkt. 2165	
11	Disclosure of identities of plaintiffs' experts and one	July 1, 2011	October 3, 2011
12	paragraph description of		
13	issues to be addressed by each expert		
14	Disclosure of identities of all defendants' experts and one	August 1, 2011	November 3, 2011
15	paragraph description of issues to be addressed by each		
16	expert expert		
17	Plaintiffs and defendants each to provide one paragraph	January 27, 2012	March 1, 2012
18	description of each issue/ subject of summary judgment		
19	motions (copies to be provided		
20	to the court)		
21	Close of limited fact discovery unique to DAP and State AG	September 2, 2011	December 8, 2011
22	cases		
23	Service of opening expert reports for plaintiffs	September 9, 2011	December 15, 2011
24	Service of underlying data and	September 12, 2011	December 19, 2011
25	code		

26

27

28

1	Event	Dates Applicable to all Direct	[Proposed] Revised
2		Action Plaintiffs and all State AG Plaintiffs (in cases on file by	Dates
3		12/1/10), per Pretrial and Trial Schedule, Dkt. 2165	
4	Parties to serve supplemental	March 2, 2012	April 2, 2012
5	disclosure with one paragraph description of any additional issues/topics of summary		
6	judgment motions (copies to		
7	be provided to the court)		
8	Service of opposition expert reports	November 8, 2011	February 20, 2012
9	Service of underlying data and code	November 11, 2011	March 1, 2012
10			
11	Service of reply expert reports	January 9, 2012	April 27, 2012
12	Service of underlying data and code	January 12, 2012	April 30, 2012
13	Last day to file dispositive	May 18, 2012	May 25, 2012
14	motions		
15	Close of expert discovery	February 15, 2012	May 18, 2012
16	Last day to file oppositions to dispositive motions	June 15, 2012	June 22, 2012
17	Last day to file reply briefs in	July 13, 2012	July 20, 2012
18	support of dispositive motions		
19	Last day for hearing dispositive motions	August 15, 2012	August 15, 2012
20	Pretrial conference	October 9, 2012	October 9, 2012
21 22	Trial begins	November 5, 2012	November 5, 2012

23

24

25

26

PROVIDED THAT, the Parties reserve their right to seek further adjustments to the schedule of any specific case based on future developments upon good cause shown in that particular case. Further, the foregoing is without prejudice of the right of any Defendants and intervenors who have opposed a motion filed in any of the above-referenced actions for leave to amend the complaint to add new defendants and/or causes of action to seek further

27 28

## Case 3:09-cv-01115-SI Document 121 Filed 07/14/11 Page 5 of 7

1	extensions as appropriate in each case if such a motion is granted by the Court. Further,		
2	Defendants and intervenors continue to maintain their oppositions to any such motions		
3	pending before the Court.		
4			
5	Dated: July 8, 2011.		
6		PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP	
7		JOHN M. GRENFELL	
		JACOB R. SORENSEN FUSAE NARA	
8		ANDREW D. LANPHERE	
9		50 Fremont Street	
		San Francisco, CA 94105	
10			
11		By:/s/ Jacob R. Sorensen	
12		Jacob R. Sorensen	
13		Attorneys for Defendants SHARP	
14		CORPORATION and SHARP ELECTRONICS CORPORATION	
15		With the approval of counsel for AU Optronics	
16		Corporation; AU Optronics Corporation America; Chi Mei Corporation; Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc.;	
10		Chimei Innolux Corporation (f/k/a Chi Mei	
17		Optoelectronics Corp.); CMO Japan Co., Ltd.;	
18		Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd.; Epson Electronics	
		America, Inc.; Epson Imaging Devices Corporation;	
19		HannStar Display Corporation; Hitachi, Ltd.; Hitachi	
20		Displays, Ltd.; Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc. LG Display Co., Ltd.; LG Display America, Inc.;	
21		Nexgen Mediatech, Inc.; Nexgen Mediatech USA, Inc. Philips Electronics North America Corporation;	
22		Samsung Electronics, Co., Ltd.; Samsung Electronics	
23		America, Inc.; Samsung Semiconductor, Inc.; Samsung SDI America, Inc.; Samsung SDI Co., Ltd.; Seiko	
		Epson Corporation; Tatung Company of America, Inc.	
24		Tatung Company; Toshiba Corporation; Toshiba Mobile Display Co., Ltd.; Toshiba America Electronic	
25		Components, Inc.; Toshiba America Information	
26		Systems, Inc.	
27			
28			

1		CROWELL & MORING LLP
2		Jerome A. Murphy ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
3		Washington, DC 20004-2595
3		Tel: (202) 624-2985
4		Fax: (202) 628-5116
5		
6		By: /s/ Jerome A. Murphy
		Jerome A. Murphy
7		Liaison Counsel for Direct Action Plaintiffs, on
8		behalf of the Direct Action Plaintiffs in the matters
9		listed above
		CHRIS KOSTER
10		ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI
11		ANNE E. SCHNEIDER (pro hac vice)
12		ANDREW M. HARTNETT (pro hac vice) P.O. Box 899
12		Jefferson City, MO 65102
13		Phone: (573) 751-3321
14		Fax: (573) 751-2041
15		By/s/ Anne E. Schneider
16		Anne E. Schneider
17		Co-Liaison Counsel for Attorneys General
		Co-Lidison Counsel for Miorneys General
18		
19		OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF FLORIDA
20		NICHOLAS J. WEILHAMMER (pro hac vice)
20		PL-0 1, The Capitol Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050
21		Phone: (850) 414-3300
22		Fax: (850) 488-9134
23		By /s/ Nicholas J. Weilhammer
		Nicholas J. Weilhammer
24		Co-Liaison Counsel for Attorneys General
25		ee zimsen eemiserje. iznemeje eene. m
26		
_0	ATTESTATION: Pursuant to General Order 45, Part X-B, the filer attests that concurrence	
a =	ATTESTATION: Pursuant to Gene	eral Order 45, Part X-B, the filer attests that concurrence
27		eral Order 45, Part X-B, the filer attests that concurrence een obtained from the above-named parties.

1		[PROPOSED] ORDER
2	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
3	July 12, 2011	Juran Staton
4		The Honorable Susan Illston District Court Judge
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		